

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/024,164	12/18/2001	Albert Alec Talin	CR00-029	6435
23330	7590 12/11/2003		EXAMINER	
MOTOROL	,	MAYEKAR, KISHOR		
-	E LAW DEPARTMEN 1 56TH STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHOENIX,	AZ 85018		1753	
			DATE MAILED: 12/11/2001	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
1.	•								
Office Action Summary			I,164 	TALIN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Exami		Art Unit					
	The MAIL INC DATE of this community		Mayekar	1753					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	mcation appears on	the cover sheet w	nui the correspondence addr	ess				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision of time that the provision of the provision of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is the toreply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no amunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an ally will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a statutory minimum of thi d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>12 Septembe</u>	<u>er 2003</u> .		•				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims			•					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	ion Papers								
10) 11)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 18 December Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected to the specific or declaration is objected.	er 2001 is/are: a) \square ection to the drawing(s g the correction is req	s) be held in abeya uired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).				
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			0.440(.) (1) (0					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 									
Attachment	t(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). nformal Patent Application (PTO-1					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of invention of Group II, claims 1-16 filed September 12, 2003 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-6 and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the electrophoretic deposition of a binder material and carbon nanotubes in a separate step, does not reasonably provide enablement for the deposition of the same by any other deposition

Application/Control Number: 10/024,164

Art Unit: 1753

technique. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make/and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102 and 103

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which

said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by RUSS (6,462,467). See claims 11 and 15; col. 3, lines 29-53; col. 4, line 54 through col. 5, line 25; and col. 5, line 66 through col. 6, line 26.
- 7. Claims are 5-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over RUSS '467 in view of CHOI et al. (6,616,497). RUSS as applied above further discloses in col. 3, lines 60-65 that forms of carbon can be used as the electron emitting materials. The difference between RUSS and the instant claim is the use of specific carbon nanotubes in the colloidal solution. CHOI shows in a method of manufacturing file emitter by electrophoretic deposition the use of carbon nanatubes as the emitting structure (see abstract and col. 2, lines 41-58). The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified RUSS" teachings as shown by CHOI because the selection of any of known equivalent emitting structure would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Art Unit: 1753

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kishor Mayekar whose telephone number is (703) 308-0477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kishor Mayekar Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753

KM